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Abstract. Based on the photoacoustic (PA) effect, PA tomography directly measures specific optical absorption, i.e., absorbed optical energy per unit volume. We recently developed a full-ring ultrasonic transducer array-based photoacoustic computed tomography (PACT) system for small-animal whole-body imaging. The system has a full-view detection angle and high in-plane resolution (~100 μm). However, due to the bandpass frequency response of the piezoelectric transducer elements and the limited elevational detection coverage of the full-ring transducer array, the reconstructed images present bipolar (i.e., both positive and negative) pixel values, which cause ambiguities in image interpretation for physicians and biologists. We propose a multiview Hilbert transformation method to recover the unipolar initial pressure for full-ring PACT. The effectiveness of the proposed algorithm was first validated by numerical simulations and then demonstrated with ex vivo mouse brain structural imaging and in vivo mouse whole-body imaging. © 2017 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) [DOI: 10.1117/1.JBO.22.7.076017]
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1 Introduction

Photoacoustic tomography (PAT), an emerging biomedical imaging technique, performs deep tissue imaging with very high optical absorption sensitivity. Generally, biological tissue highly scatters light. Multiple scattering events cause photons to deviate from their original propagation direction, which impedes high-resolution optical imaging in deep tissue. By converting highly scattered photons into ultrasonic waves, which are about 3 orders of magnitude less scattered than light, PAT can break the optical diffusion limit (1-mm deep in biological tissue) and form high-resolution images of the object’s optical properties at depths. PAT has two major incarnations: focused-scanning-based photoacoustic microscopy (PAM) and reconstruction-based photoacoustic computed tomography (PACT). Transducer arrays with multiple elements are widely used for PACT, greatly improving the imaging speed over that achieved by scanning with a single-element transducer. Although linear arrays are widely used due to their advantageous hand-held operation, they provide only a limited acoustic view, which reduces image fidelity of PACT. In contrast, curved transducer arrays, especially full-ring transducer arrays, have much broader acoustic detection coverage. Since full-ring transducer array-based PACT (FR-PACT) has full-view in-plane coverage, it eliminates the limited view problem in the imaging plane and provides small-animal brain and body images with detailed structures and two-dimensional (2-D) full-view fidelity.

However, all transducer elements have limited bandwidth with low-frequency cutoffs. Although the full-ring geometry provides 2π in-plane detection coverage, the elevational acceptance is relatively small, determined by the acoustic aperture [normally, the acoustic numerical aperture (NA) is ~0.2]. Consequently, the reconstructed images present bipolar (i.e., both positive and negative) pixel values. However, PAT ideally should image the optical energy deposition (which is nonnegative); thus, bipolar values are artificial and cause ambiguities in interpreting images. For example, both positive and negative peaks mean high optical absorption, which is counterintuitive for biologists and physicians seeking to understand the image. Moreover, bipolar pixel values pose difficulties in quantifying physiological parameters, such as mapping the distribution of blood oxygen saturation (sO2) and the metabolic rate of oxygen (MRo2). To mitigate the bipolar issue, multiple solutions have been reported. One solution is to keep only the positive values and threshold the negative values to zero, but this removes useful structures and induces artifacts. A second solution is to deconvolve the raw channel data with its corresponding transducer element’s electrical impulse response to retrieve the broadband photoacoustic signals. However, in addition to relying on a high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), deconvolution does not solve the limited elevational acoustic coverage issue and, thus, cannot provide unipolar reconstructed images for FR-PACT. A third solution is to employ iteration-based image reconstruction with a nonnegativity constraint, although this requires accurate modeling of the imaging system and time-consuming computation. In addition to these three methods, Hilbert transformation is widely used in PAM and linear array-based PACT to address bipolarity and extract envelope information.
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and it has been proven to be simple and computationally effective. When applying Hilbert transformation on a 2-D matrix, it is critically important to select the correct transformation direction,\textsuperscript{27} normally along the acoustic propagation direction for photoacoustic (PA) image processing. Otherwise, unpredictable artifacts may result. In focused-transducer-based PAM, Hilbert transformation is usually taken along the A-line direction (the acoustic receiving direction), and then the absolute value of the transformed A-line is taken to produce its envelope.\textsuperscript{26,28,29} In linear-array-based PACT, a common choice for the Hilbert transformation direction is the array receiving direction (the depth direction) in the reconstructed images, taking the absolute value extracts the envelope information of images.\textsuperscript{12,30} However, in FR-PACT, the acoustic signals are received from all directions, up to an angle of $2\pi$ in plane, which makes the determination of the transformation direction difficult. To address this issue, we explored multiple ways of implementing Hilbert transformation in FR-PACT (in the Appendix). Finally, we propose a multiview Hilbert transformation (MVHT) that satisfactorily corrects the bipolarity in FR-PACT with both minimum artifacts in the reconstructed images and maximum image contrasts.

2 Materials and Methods

Here, we present an MVHT algorithm for FR-PACT that converts the reconstructed bipolar images to unipolar images representing the initial pressures. Figure 1(a) shows the setup of the FR-PACT system for small-animal whole-body imaging, which has been reported earlier.\textsuperscript{4,31–33} A ring-shaped laser beam (750-nm, 10-Hz repetition rate) is used for whole-body imaging illumination. The maximum light fluence on the skin of the animal is $\sim 8 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$, which is well below the American National Standards Institute safety limit. The PA signals are detected by a full-ring transducer array (Imasonic, 5-cm diameter, 512 elements, 5-MHz central frequency, and $>80\%$ one-way bandwidth). Each element (10-mm height, 0.3-mm pitch, and 0.1-mm interelement space) is cylindrically focused to produce an elevational focal distance of 19.8 mm (acoustic NA, 0.25). The data acquisition system has 64 channels with eightfold multiplexing. Previously, several inversion methods have been proposed to reconstruct images for the full-ring geometry.\textsuperscript{34–36} Figure 1(b) shows representative bipolar images acquired by FR-PACT using the conventional universal back-projection (UBP) reconstruction.\textsuperscript{34–36}

A schematic of the image reconstruction is shown in Fig. 1(c), illustrating the process of implementing MVHT in FR-PACT. We first select a group of neighboring elements at one view angle $i$, all of which can be approximately regarded to have the same acoustic receiving direction due to the small angular coverage of those elements. Then, the channel data from the selected elements are used for reconstruction. Elements on the opposite side, sharing the same acoustic receiving axis, are also included because they constructively contribute to the reconstruction process. Two coordinate systems, sharing the same origin in the center of the ring, are used here: the local coordinates $\vec{r}_{0i} = [x_{0i}, y_{0i}]$ attached to the locally selected elements and rotating with the view angle $i$ and the global coordinates $\vec{r} = (x, y)$ attached to the full-ring array. The raw channel data from the selected neighboring elements are used for local image reconstruction under the local coordinates, using the UBP algorithm

$$ p_0^{(i)}(\vec{r}_{0i}) = \int_{\Omega_0} \frac{d\Omega_0}{\Omega_0} \{ b(\vec{r}_{0i}'; t) \} \frac{\partial \Omega_0}{\partial \vec{r}_{0i}} |_{\vec{r}_{0i}} |_{\vec{r}_{0i}} / c, $$

(1)

where $b(\vec{r}_{0i}', t) = 2p(\vec{r}_{0i}', t) - 2i \frac{\partial p(\vec{r}_{0i}', t)}{\partial \theta}$ is the acoustic pressure detected by the selected elements located at $\vec{r}_{0i}'$ at time $t$ and $c$ is the speed of sound in tissue. $d\Omega_0$ is the solid angle for one element with respect to the point at $\vec{r}_{0i}$, $\Omega_0$ where the solid angle is subtended by the detection aperture of the selected elements with respect to the point at $\vec{r}_{0i}$, and $d\Omega_0/\Omega_0$ is a weighting factor contributing to the contribution from the element located at $\vec{r}_{0i}'$. $p_0^{(i)}(\vec{r}_{0i})$ is the locally reconstructed initial PA pressure for the point at $\vec{r}_{0i}$, which is bipolar due to the limited detection bandwidth and acoustic receiving aperture. Next, we take Hilbert transformation of the reconstructed image at the local coordinates along the acoustic receiving direction [as the red arrows shown in Fig. 3(c)] and then take the absolute value of transformed image. Rotating the processed image by an angle of $\alpha_i$ transfers it to global coordinates.

By repeating the above procedures for all view angles and pixel-wise averaging over all the images, the unipolar full-view

---

**Fig. 1** (a) Setup of the FR-PACT system, (b) representative bipolar images acquired using the FR-PACT system, and (c) schematic of the MVHT in FR-PACT. DAQ, data acquisition system and FOV, field of view. The local coordinates are aligned with the global coordinates at the first view angle (i.e., $\alpha_i = 0$).
image is obtained. The whole process can be mathematically expressed as

$$p_0^+ (\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} R_{\alpha_i} \{ A \{ H \{ p_0^i (\vec{r}_{\alpha_i}) \} \} \},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $p_0^i (\vec{r})$ is the final full-view unipolar image with nonnegative pixel values, $N$ is the total number of view angles, $H$ is the Hilbert transformation operator, which takes the Hilbert transformation of the partially reconstructed image along the acoustic receiving direction, and $A$ is the absolute value operator, which takes the absolute value of the Hilbert transformed image. The combination of the $H$ and $A$ operators extracts the envelope image from the partially reconstructed image. $R_{\alpha_i}$ is the rotation operator, which rotates the envelope image by an angle of $\alpha_i$ to align it in the global coordinates. The final image $p_0^+ (\vec{r})$ is the average of all aligned envelope images.

An important parameter of this method is the number of elements to be bundled in one single-view group. Interestingly, we found that the optimal number of elements at each view is related to the reconstructed field of view (FOV). In our experiment, the diameter of the full-ring array is 50 mm. When the FOV is 16 mm in diameter, the corresponding angle $\theta$ [Fig. 1(c)] is about 37 deg. Thus, the number of elements that fall into the range of the red arc (one side) should be 54, given that the total number of elements of full-ring array is 512. We rotated 12 views ($N = 12$) with a step size of 15 deg to complete the full-view reconstruction. To validate our prediction, we conducted a numerical simulation using a leaf skeleton as the object, as shown in Fig. 2(a). The conventional UBP reconstructed image with bipolar pixel values is shown in Fig. 2(b). The forward data of 2-D wave propagation were generated using the $k$-Wave toolbox. In the simulation, the central frequency of the simulated detector was set to 5 MHz, with 100% bandwidth. We employed 512 ideal point detectors to form a ring shape with a radius of 2.5 cm.

As shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), the reconstructed image using 54 elements at each view has higher signal amplitude and better contrast [Fig. 2(e)] than the reconstructed image using 27 elements at each view. However, when further increasing the number of elements at each view to 108 and 216, artifacts appear in the reconstructed images, as shown in Fig. 3. When the number of elements at each view exceeds the optimum number, the acoustic receiving angle becomes so large that the direction of the Hilbert transformation is no longer aligned with the signal-receiving direction. We also note that the reconstructed images [Figs. 3(b)–3(d)] have similar signal amplitudes, which means that increasing the number of elements beyond 54 does not provide a higher SNR. Therefore, given an FOV of 16 mm in diameter, the optimal number of elements in each view should be 54, the same as predicted above.

3 Results

We first quantified the in-plane image resolution of the FR-PACT system using MVHT for reconstruction. Microspheres with 10 $\mu$m in diameter were imbedded in agar gel (3% mass concentration, dissolved in deionized water) and imaged by FR-PACT. The MVHT reconstructed image of one microsphere is shown in Fig. 4(a), and the full width at half maximum after Gaussian fitting was calculated to be about 148 $\mu$m [Fig. 4(b)]. The in-plane resolution of the UBP reconstructed bipolar images is 100 $\mu$m [Figs. 4(c)–4(e)]. The in-plane resolution of the MVHT reconstructed unipolar images is slightly worse than the resolution of bipolar images because the envelope extraction process acts as a low-pass filter in the spatial frequency domain.

To further demonstrate the effectiveness of the MVHT method, we imaged both a mouse brain ex vivo and a mouse trunk in vivo. All experimental procedures were carried out in conformity with laboratory animal protocols approved by the
A saline-perfused mouse brain was first imbedded in agar gel and then imaged by FR-PACT with 620-nm illumination. The conventional UBP reconstructed bipolar image is shown in Fig. 5(a), while the MVHT reconstructed image is shown in Fig. 5(b). Features of the two images match well with each other. A magnetic resonance microscopy image of a similar mouse brain with its structural segmentation superimposed as colored lines is shown in Fig. 5(c), to serve as a reference for validation of our results.

We also demonstrated the performance of the MVHT reconstruction method by imaging in vivo the trunk of an 8-week-old nude mouse (Hsd: Athymic Nude-FoxNU, Harlan Co., 20- to 30-g body weight). Ring-shape side illumination at 750 nm was used for excitation. In the conventional UBP reconstructed bipolar image shown in Fig. 6(a), most of the internal organs, such as the two kidneys, spleen, gastrointestinal tract, and spinal cord, are resolved. The MVHT reconstructed unipolar image [Fig. 6(b)] maintains all of those features.

4 Discussion

Due to limited acoustic bandwidth and elevational acceptance of the full-ring transducer array, the reconstructed images using the UBP method contain both positive and negative values. It is counter-intuitive for physicians and biologists to interpret such images.
Fig. 5 (a) Bipolar image of a saline-perfused mouse brain. (b) MVHT reconstructed unipolar image of the saline-perfused mouse brain. OB, olfactory bulb; NC, neocortex; VT, ventricles; HC, hippocampus; GPD, globus pallidus; and CWM, cerebellum white matter. (c) Another mouse brain image from magnetic resonance microscopy, with its structural segmentation superimposed as colored lines, chosen as a reference for validation of PACT imaging (Courtesy of *Frontiers in Neuroscience*).

Fig. 6 (a) Cross-sectional image of a mouse lower abdominal cavity with bipolar values and (b) MVHT reconstructed unipolar image using the same data as for (a). SC, spinal cord; KD, kidney; SP, spleen; and GI, gastrointestinal tract.

Fig. 7 (a) Schematic of the first variant discussed in the Appendix, (b) schematic of the second variant discussed in the Appendix, (c) schematic of the proposed MVHT reconstruction method, (d) preset optical absorption map of the object for simulation, (e) reconstructed image using the first variant, (f) reconstructed image using the second variant, and (g) reconstructed image using the proposed MVHT reconstruction method.
images because both positive and negative peaks represent strong optical absorption. PAT should ideally report the initial pressure or optical energy deposition, proportional to the product of the local fluence and optical absorption. Thus, the pixel values in perfectly reconstructed PAT images should be nonnegative. To solve the bipolarity problem in UBP reconstructed images, we proposed the MVHT method for a full-ring geometry PACT system. MVHT reconstruction successfully recovers the unipolar initial pressure with an in-plane resolution of 148 μm, which is slightly worse than that of the UBP reconstruction due to the spatial frequency low-pass nature of the Hilbert transformation. We also optimized the number of elements for each single-view reconstruction to get the best SNR without inducing artifacts. The performance of the MVHT method was demonstrated by numerical simulation, ex vivo imaging of a mouse brain, and in vivo whole-body imaging. MVHT provides a computationally efficient way to recover the unipolar initial pressure map from bandwidth- and elevation- acoustic-coverge-limited PA measurements.

Appendix

Here, we compare and analyze three variant approaches to applying Hilbert transformation for full-ring geometry-based PACT, as illustrated in Figs. 7(a)–7(c). The first variant [Fig. 7(a)] is to directly apply Hilbert transformation to \( b_{\mu}^{\mu} \) in Eq. (1) and take the envelope and then reconstruct the image using the enveloped data. The second variant [Fig. 7(b)] is to select a group of neighboring elements (54 elements for each view angle) for reconstruction, take the Hilbert transformation only along the centerline of the reconstructed image, and repeat this procedure for all of the angles to complete the reconstruction. The third variant [Fig. 7(c)] is the method presented in this paper. A simple object, as shown in Fig. 7(d), was the input for the numerical simulation. The forward process was simulated using the k-Wave toolbox. The reconstructed images of the three variants are shown in Figs. 7(e)–7(g). The first and second variants result in obvious reconstruction artifacts [Figs. 7(e) and 7(f)], but the proposed MVHT method successfully recovers the input without inducing artifacts [Fig. 7(g)]. The first method creates image artifacts because directly enveloping the channel data removes the phase information of the detected acoustic signal. The second method envelopes the centerlines of the partially reconstructed images, which loses most of the useful information and, thus, induces reconstruction artifacts.
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