## PROCEEDINGS OF SPIE

# Signal and Data Processing of Small Targets 2011

**Oliver E. Drummond** *Editor* 

23–25 August 2011 San Diego, California, United States

Sponsored and Published by SPIE

Volume 8137

The papers included in this volume were part of the technical conference cited on the cover and title page. Papers were selected and subject to review by the editors and conference program committee. Some conference presentations may not be available for publication. The papers published in these proceedings reflect the work and thoughts of the authors and are published herein as submitted. The publisher is not responsible for the validity of the information or for any outcomes resulting from reliance thereon.

Please use the following format to cite material from this book:

Author(s), "Title of Paper," in *Signal and Data Processing of Small Targets 2011*, edited by Oliver E. Drummond, Proceedings of SPIE Vol. 8137 (SPIE, Bellingham, WA, 2011) Article CID Number.

ISSN 0277-786X ISBN 9780819487476

Published by

SPIE

P.O. Box 10, Bellingham, Washington 98227-0010 USA Telephone +1 360 676 3290 (Pacific Time) · Fax +1 360 647 1445 SPIE.org

Copyright © 2011, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers

Copying of material in this book for internal or personal use, or for the internal or personal use of specific clients, beyond the fair use provisions granted by the U.S. Copyright Law is authorized by SPIE subject to payment of copying fees. The Transactional Reporting Service base fee for this volume is \$18.00 per article (or portion thereof), which should be paid directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Payment may also be made electronically through CCC Online at copyright.com. Other copying for republication, resale, advertising or promotion, or any form of systematic or multiple reproduction of any material in this book is prohibited except with permission in writing from the publisher. The CCC fee code is 0277-786X/11/\$18.00.

Printed in the United States of America.

 $\hbox{Publication of record for individual papers is online in the SPIE Digital Library.}$ 



**Paper Numbering:** Proceedings of SPIE follow an e-First publication model, with papers published first online and then in print and on CD-ROM. Papers are published as they are submitted and meet publication criteria. A unique, consistent, permanent citation identifier (CID) number is assigned to each article at the time of the first publication. Utilization of CIDs allows articles to be fully citable as soon as they are published online, and connects the same identifier to all online, print, and electronic versions of the publication. SPIE uses a six-digit CID article numbering system in which:

- The first four digits correspond to the SPIE volume number.
- The last two digits indicate publication order within the volume using a Base 36 numbering system employing both numerals and letters. These two-number sets start with 00, 01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 0A, 0B ... 0Z, followed by 10-1Z, 20-2Z, etc.

The CID number appears on each page of the manuscript. The complete citation is used on the first page, and an abbreviated version on subsequent pages. Numbers in the index correspond to the last two digits of the six-digit CID number.

### **Contents**

Conference Committee

ix	Introduction
SESSION 1	SIGNAL PROCESSING AND BIO/CHEM
8137 02	<b>2D-3D registration using intensity gradients</b> [8137-01] P. Duraisamy, Y. Belkhouche, S. Jackson, K. Namuduri, B. Buckles, Univ. of North Texas (United States)
8137 03	Algorithm development for automated outlier detection and background noise reduction during NIR spectroscopic data processing [8137-02] D. Abookasis, Ariel Univ. Ctr. of Samaria (Israel); J. J. Workman, Biotechnology Business Associates (United States), Liberty Univ. (United States), and United States National Univ. (United States)
8137 04	A data-driven approach for processing heterogeneous categorical sensor signals [8137-03] C. P. Calderon, A. Jones, S. Lundberg, R. Paffenroth, Numerica Corp. (United States)
8137 05	Backscatter behaviour and tracking of ogive shaped objects in optical range [8137-04] U. Chalupka, C. Hahlweg, H. Rothe, Helmut-Schmidt Univ. (Germany)
8137 06	Spectral DAISY: a combined target spatial-spectral dense feature descriptor for improved tracking performance [8137-05]  J. J. Weinheimer, P. Villeneuve, S. G. Beaven, Space Computer Corp. (United States)
8137 07	Design and analysis of an FMCW radar system for vehicle tracking [8137-06] N. Gale, L. Hong, A. Roy, Wright State Univ. (United States)
8137 08	Game theoretic approach to similarity-based image segmentation [8137-07] D. Shen, G. Chen, Independent Consultant (United States); Y. Zheng, Alcorn State Univ. (United States); E. Blasch, K. Pham, Air Force Research Lab. (United States)
SESSION 2	FILTERING
8137 09	Optimal filters with heuristic 1-norm sparsity constraints [8137-08] M. Yazdani, R. Hecht-Nielsen, Univ. of California, San Diego (United States)
8137 OB	Coulomb's law particle flow for nonlinear filters [8137-10] F. Daum, J. Huang, A. Noushin, Raytheon Co. (United States)
8137 OD	Tracking with converted position and Doppler measurements [8137-12] S. V. Bordonaro, Naval Undersea Warfare Ctr. (United States); P. Willett, Y. Bar-Shalom, Univ. of Connecticut (United States)

8137 OE	Numerical experiments for Coulomb's law particle flow for nonlinear filters [8137-13] F. Daum, J. Huang, A. Noushin, Raytheon Co. (United States)
SESSION 3	TARGET TRACK PROCESSING I
8137 0G	Multiple hypothesis tracking for the cyber domain [8137-15] S. Schwoegler, S. Blackman, Raytheon Co. (United States); J. Holsopple, CUBRC, Inc. (United States); M. J. Hirsch, Raytheon Co. (United States)
8137 OH	Discrimination and tracking of dismounts using low-resolution aerial video sequences [8137-16] R. Narayanaswami, A. Tyurina, D. Diel, R. K. Mehra, Scientific Systems Co., Inc. (United States); J. M. Chinn, Air Force Research Lab. (United States)
8137 OI	Application of a joint tracking and identification method to dismount targets [8137-17] S. Blackman, K. Krikorian, R. Rosen, C. Durand, S. Schwoegler, Raytheon Co. (United States)
8137 OJ	Comparison of a grid-based filter to a Kalman filter for the state estimation of a maneuvering target [8137-18] M. Silbert, NAVAIR (United States) and The George Washington Univ. (United States); T. Mazzuchi, S. Sarkani, The George Washington Univ. (United States)
8137 OK	A Gaussian sum filter framework for space surveillance [8137-19] J. T. Horwood, N. D. Aragon, A. B. Poore, Numerica Corp. (United States)
8137 OL	Multiple model cardinalized probability hypothesis density filter [8137-20] R. Georgescu, P. Willett, Univ. of Connecticut (United States)
8137 OM	Tracking group targets using hypergraph matching in data association [8137-21] S. Wu, J. Xiao, SRI International Sarnoff (United States)
SESSION 4	TARGET TRACK PROCESSING II
8137 00	Improved multiframe association for tracking maneuvering targets [8137-23] B. K. Habtemariam, R. Tharmarasa, McMaster Univ. (Canada); N. Nandakumaran, Curtin Univ. of Technology (Australia); M. McDonald, Defence Research and Development Canada, Ottawa (Canada); T. Kirubarajan, McMaster Univ. (Canada)
8137 OP	<b>Bayesian big bang</b> [8137-37] F. Daum, J. Huang, Raytheon Co. (United States)
8137 0Q	Automatic track initialization and maintenance in heavy clutter using integrated JPDA and ML-PDA algorithms [8137-25] K. Harishan, R. Tharmarasa, T. Kirubarajan, McMaster Univ. (Canada); T. Thayaparan, Defence Research and Development Canada, Ottawa (Canada)
8137 OR	Angle only tracking with particle flow filters [8137-36] F. Daum, J. Huang, Raytheon Co. (United States)

8137 OS	Identity variance for multi-object estimation [8137-27] D. F. Crouse, P. Willett, Univ. of Connecticut (United States)
8137 OT	Adaptive and selective multi-target-tracker [8137-28] CK. Sung, Fraunhofer-Institute IOSB (Germany)
SESSION 5	SENSOR DATA FUSION
8137 OU	Dynamic sector processing using 2D assignment for rotating radars [8137-29] B. K. Habtemariam, R. Tharmarasa, McMaster Univ. (Canada); M. Pelletier, ICx Radar Systems (Canada); T. Kirubarajan, McMaster Univ. (Canada)
8137 OV	Benchmarks for target tracking [8137-30] D. T. Dunham, Vectraxx, Inc. (United States); P. D. West, Georgia Institute of Technology (United States)
8137 OW	Information exchanged between fusion tracker and other fusion functions [8137-31] O. E. Drummond, CyberRnD, Inc. (United States) and Consulting Engineer (United States)
8137 OX	Comparison of data reduction techniques based on SVM classifier and SVR performance [8137-32] D. Zhao, R. Georgescu, P. Willett, Univ. of Connecticut (United States)
8137 OY	Cooperative sensing in mobile sensor networks based on distributed consensus [8137-33] H. M. La, W. Sheng, Oklahoma State Univ. (United States)
8137 OZ	Spectrally assisted target tracking [8137-34] L. E. Hoff, Hoff Engineering (United States); E. M. Winter, Technical Research Associates, Inc. (United States)
8137 11	Evaluation of automated algorithms for small target detection and non-natural terrain characterization using remote multi-band imagery [8137-42] E. Hallenborg, D. L. Buck, E. Daly, Space and Naval Warfare Systems Ctr. Pacific (United States)
SESSION 6	SIGNAL AND DATA PROCESSING
8137 13	A cost-effective and open mobile sensor platform for networked surveillance [8137-39] G. Li, J. Du, C. Zhu, W. Sheng, Oklahoma State Univ. (United States)
8137 14	Performance evaluations of multipath multitarget tracking using PCRLB [8137-40] M. Subramaniam, McMaster Univ. (Canada); K. Punithakumar, GE Healthcare (Canada); R. Tharmarasa, McMaster Univ. (Canada); M. McDonald, Defence Research and Development Canada, Ottawa (Canada); T. Kirubarajan, McMaster Univ. (Canada)

## Noise-induced outpulsing technique for energy efficiency improvement of laser radar systems [8137-45]

M. G. Serikova, E. G. Lebedko, Saint-Petersburg State Univ. of Information Technologies, Mechanics and Optics (Russian Federation)

Author Index

#### **Conference Committee**

Program Track Chair

Khan M. Iftekharuddin, The University of Memphis (United States)

Conference Chair

Oliver E. Drummond, Consulting Engineer (United States)

Conference Cochair

Richard D. Teichgraeber, Consulting Engineer (United States)

Program Committee

Liyi Dai, U.S. Army Research Office (United States)

**Darren K. Emge**, U.S. Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center (United States)

**Lawrence E. Hoff**, Hoff Engineering (United States)

**Denise E. Jones**, U.S. Army Space and Missile Defense Command (United States)

Rabinder N. Madan, Office of Naval Research (United States)

**Steven W. Waugh**, Defense Threat Reduction Agency (United States)

#### Session Chairs

- Signal Processing and Bio/Chem
   Lawrence E. Hoff, Hoff Engineering (United States)
   Richard D. Teichgraeber, Consulting Engineer (United States)
- 2 Filtering

**Richard D. Teichgraeber**, Consulting Engineer (United States) **Oliver E. Drummond**, Consulting Engineer (United States)

3 Target Track Processing I

**Karla K. Spriestersbach**, Missile Defense Agency (United States) **Oliver E. Drummond**, Consulting Engineer (United States)

4 Target Track Processing II **Richard D. Teichgraeber**, Consulting Engineer (United States)

- Sensor Data Fusion
   Karla K. Spriestersbach, Missile Defense Agency (United States)
   Oliver E. Drummond, Consulting Engineer (United States)
- 6 Signal and Data Processing
  Oliver E. Drummond, Consulting Engineer (United States)

#### Introduction

This was the 23rd in a series of SPIE conferences to focus on signal and data processing of small targets. Most SPIE conferences are concerned with processing large targets, namely, targets large enough for traditional automatic (or assisted) target recognition (ATR) with a single frame of data. A target large enough for ATR is typically larger than a total of 100 resolution elements, for example, larger than 10 by 10 pixels. In contrast, this conference series introduced a different thrust for SPIE: processing targets smaller than 100 pixels.

The conference was held in San Diego this year after being held in Orlando in 2010. In the future, these conferences are expected to be located in Baltimore in the spring on even years but continue to be in San Diego in the summer on odd years. The proceedings of the prior conferences in this series in 1989 through 2010 are SPIE Volumes 1096, 1305, 1481, 1698, 1954, 2235, 2561, 2759, 3163, 3373, 3809, 4048, 4473, 4728, 5204, 5428, 5913, 6236, 6699, 6969, 7445, and 7698. A compact disk of all the papers in this series from 1989 through 2000 is available from SPIE; it is Volume 20, which is a two-disk set.

The various types of processing tasks with sensor-derived data of targets can be broadly categorized into four generic classes, as follows:

- Sensor tracking of a single (bright) target
- Image and data processing of large targets
- Signal and data processing of medium sized targets
- Signal and data processing of small targets.

Note that the size indicated in this list is in terms of the number of resolution elements or pixels. The motivation for categorizing the processing of sensor data this way is because most of the appropriate algorithms for each of these problems differ substantially from that of the others. This conference concentrates on small targets that include:

- Point source objects
- Small-extended objects
- Clusters of point source and small-extended objects or threat clouds, such as Chem/Bio threats.

The size of a typical point source target in the field of view is from less than one to about 20 pixels (resolution elements) wide, depending on the sensor design. Although the processing of point targets with data form a single sensor has been studied extensively, there are still many interesting challenges in this field. In

contrast, the state of the art of sensor data fusion and for processing small extended-objects, clusters, and Chem/Bio clouds is far less mature, but interest is growing.

Small targets that are not point source objects include both small-extended objects and unresolved closely spaced objects, sometimes called clumps. While these small targets provide little detailed information useful for ATR, they do exhibit some shape and size information that might be useful in tracking. In addition, an extended object may at times be partially or fully obscured or may obscure rather than add to the background. The apparent size and shape of a target can differ from sensor-to-sensor and over time; this may have to be taken into account. Similarly, cluster and Chem/Bio processing offers significant advantages and unique challenges since they can change in size, shape, and orientation as well as motion.

Improved sensors, increasingly demanding system requirements, efficacious countermeasures, severe operating environments, processor hardware limitations, and challenging threat scenarios, drive current algorithm development. Of special interest is the ability to track low observables or in a moderate to dense population of threshold exceedances caused by clutter, false signals, or targets that are close or crossing.

There is an increasing need for improvements in "algorithm efficiency," i.e., improved performance relative to the processor and communication resources required. A major trade in selecting algorithms for processing small targets is performance versus required processor and communications capacity. Also needed are accurate evaluations and predictions of required resources and functional performance under realistic conditions. Major improvements are needed in: multiple spectral signal processing, multiple target tracking, network centric sensor data fusion, multiple frame data association, multiple frame signal processing (such as track-before-detect), effective management of sensors, communications, and processor resources, target classification, processing of features and attributes, and the interaction between signal processing and tracking. Many of these issues are highlighted in Figure 1. In addition, there is a need for an indication of track quality and related information in the tracker output to the users and functions that depend on the tracker data to facilitate the improvement of their performance.

The term fuse-before-detect in Figure 1 refers to the combining (fusing) of raw data from multiple sensors before finalizing detection at the signal processing level. I coined this term in recognition of the increased interest in improving performance by fusing sensor data early in the processing chain. Note also in Figure 1 the possible use of track data at the signal processing level. There is a growing recognition of the importance of using all available information in every stage of the processing and hence the use of feedback.




Figure 1. Sensor Signal and Data Processing

This conference has provided a forum to address these issues through discussion of algorithms and simulations for digital signal processing, and target tracking, and sensor data fusion under challenging conditions, i.e., association (correlation) and filtering, including related data processing, such as sensor fusion, resource management, and target classification/typing. Of the five half-day sessions, one addressed signal-level processing including Chem/Bio cloud processing, one addressed filtering, two addressed target tracking and its functions, and one addressed sensor data fusion plus related network wide processing. The distinction between the two stages of single sensor-level processing is shown in Figure 1.

These proceedings papers contain a wealth of information that address the issues critical to practical processing under the challenging conditions outlined above. For example, important advances were presented in: processing Chem/Bio sensor data, filter methods to accommodate non-linearities, alternative multiple frame data association, improved distributed sensor data fusion, tracking and classification of dismounted targets, MHT use in cyber domain, improved track initialization, high fidelity simulations, and target classification. These techniques and others presented are strong candidates to permit high performance target tracking and sensor data fusion plus related processing of low observables or in an environment of moderately dense detections and with abruptly maneuvering targets. These and other innovative yet practical techniques were presented that contribute to improving algorithm efficiency for processing small targets.

Many of the experts and organizations that are making the major important advances in practical sensor signal and data processing have contributed to these proceedings. We thank the authors, session chairs, attendees, and SPIE coordinators for making the three-day conference such a success. They have taken part in enthusiastic discussions that generated better understanding for the application of the techniques presented and have stimulated thoughts for further improvements. Informal discussions during the coffee breaks and the poster session were especially productive, as usual. With these proceedings, the authors have extended the state of the art of analysis, algorithms, and simulations for the use of data from one or more sensors used in signal and data processing of small targets and related processing.

#### Oliver E. Drummond, Ph.D., P.E.

Consulting Engineer Phone: 310-838-5300 E-Mail: Drummond@Att.Net

Web site: http://ODrummond.com